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## Overview

## XGCm is a new gyrokinetic particle-in-cell (PIC) code

 for fusion plasma simulations:$>$ Mesh-centric approach to handle particle operations;
> Distributed unstructured mesh;
> Physical algorithms from the well-established XGC code;
> Omega_h: unstructured mesh management (Kokkos based);
> PUMIPic: particle management (Omega_h based);
> PETSc: linear equation solver;
> All operations performed on GPU (currently working on Nvidia GPUs: Summit, Perlmutter, and RPI's AiMOS; porting to AMD and Intel GPU underway);
$>$ Aimed at exascale fusion plasma simulations.

Demonstration of the particle trajectories at several time steps, and the electrostatic potential results for the Cyclone ITG test case 5 of Burckel et. al. (2010). Particle trajectories are colored by time step number, and each poloidal plane is colored by the electrostatic potential.
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## The Vlasov equation and gyrokinetic particle-in-cell method

> Starting from the Boltzmann equation

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}+\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}+\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{\text {coll }}
$$

Vlasov Equation

$$
\frac{\partial f_{s}}{\partial t^{\prime}}+\boldsymbol{v}_{s} \cdot \frac{\partial f_{s}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}+\frac{q_{s}}{m_{s}}(\boldsymbol{E}+\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B}) \cdot \frac{\partial f_{s}}{\partial v}=0
$$

Long-range Coulomb interaction
$\square$ Collisionless Boltzmann equation
$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}+v \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}+\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}=0$

Self-consistent electric field $\quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E}=\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon}$
Lorentz force on charged particles
$\boldsymbol{F}=q(\boldsymbol{E}+\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B})$, or $\boldsymbol{a}=\frac{q}{m}(\boldsymbol{E}+\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B})$,
$E$ : electric field, $B$ : magnetic field

## The Vlasov equation and gyrokinetic particle-in-cell method

$>$ Particle-in-cell method numerically solves a the Vlasov equation;
> Monte Carlo particle method;
> Each particle represents a large amount of (identical) real charged ions/electrons;
> Main operations in the PIC method:
$\square$ Particle push;
$\square$ Particle charge deposition;
$\square$ Field solve;
$\square$ Field to particle interpolation.
> Gyrokinetic particle-in-cell method:
$\square$ Particle guiding-center position, instead of actual particle position is simulated.


## Unstructured partitioned mesh: Omega_h

Three levels of mesh partitions:
> Toroidal partition: partition particles into different MPI ranks along the torus direction;
> Poloidal partition: in each poloidal plane, mesh is partitioned into different MPI ranks according to the flux curves; particles are associated with each triangle element of the distributed mesh;
> Solver partition: a (potentially) different mesh partition in each poloidal plane for solving the gyrokinetic Poisson equation.

As a first step solver partition


## Particle management in distributed unstructured mesh: PUMIPic

$>$ A distributed mesh approach for PIC that can scale both the particles and mesh and is performant.
$>$ Particles accessed through mesh to support faster data access and mesh/particle operations;
$>$ Mesh distribution based on core parts and buffer parts to ensure on-process movement of particles in a particle push operation;
> Mesh infrastructure to support all major PIC operations on GPU: particle, particle-mesh, mesh, mesh-mesh operations;


PICpart generated for the core part A using 4 layers of breadth-first traversal.

Mesh \& Particles


Path of a particle through a 2D triangular mesh using edge adjacencies


The storage of particles in a set of mesh elements (left) in a CSR (middle) and two SCS (right) with no sorting and with sorting. Arrows on each structure show the continuous layout of memory.
[1] Gerrett Diamond, Cameron W.Smith, Chonglin Zhang, Eisung Yoon, and Mark S. Shephard, PUMIPic: A mesh-based approach to unstructured mesh Particle-InCell on GPUs, Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing, Vol 157, pp 1-12 (2021).

## Solving electrostatic gyrokinetic Poisson equation using PETSc

Equations being solved (long wavelength limit) ${ }^{[1]}$ The solution process:

$$
-\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \frac{n_{i} m}{e B^{2}} \nabla \sqrt{\Phi}+n_{0} \frac{\delta \Phi}{T_{e}}=\left(\bar{n}_{i}-\delta n_{e}\right)
$$

Guiding center density $\quad \bar{n}_{i}=n_{i, 0}+\delta \bar{n}_{i}=n_{0}+\delta \bar{n}_{i}$

$$
\bar{n}_{i}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int f_{i}(\mathbf{X}, \mu, u) \delta\left(\mathbf{X}-x+\vec{\rho}_{i}\right) d \mathbf{X} d \mu d \alpha
$$

Field (right-hand-side and solution vectors) storage:
> If solve on GPU, data stays on GPU memory:
" GPU Read/write: Omegah::Write<o::Real>

- GPU Read only: Omegah::Reals
> If solve on CPU, data copied to CPU memory:
- CPU Read/write: Omegah::HostWrite<o::Real>
- CPU Read only: Omegah::HostRead<o::Real>
> After particle push, obtain right-hand-side vector (charge density) resulting from charge scatter;
$>$ Copy right-hand-side vector from Omega_h to PETSc;
> Solve either on GPU or CPU with PETSc; Linear matrix is assembled once on GPU.
> After PETSc solve, copy solution vector from PETSc to Omega_h;
> Calculate electric field from solution vector and perform particle push;
$>$ Repeat the above process.


## Solving electrostatic gyrokinetic Poisson equation using PETSc

1915 // copy xgcm field into petsc vector

```
#ifdef XGCM_GPU_SOLVE
```

    ierr \(=\) VecCUDAGetArrayWrite(bloc, \&bwrite); CHKERRQ(ierr);
    assert(xgc_vec.size() == simmesh->nverts());
    Vec bloc;
    PetscErrorCode ierr = DMGetLocalVector(dm, \&bloc); CHKERRQ(ierr);
    PetscScalar *bwrite;
    // handle GPU or CPU solve
    const auto p2lv = partVtx_to_locVec;
    auto set_petsc_vec = OMEGA_H_LAMBDA(const o::LO vtx) \{
            const auto vecIdx = p2lv[vtx];
            if (vecIdx >= 0) \{
                bwrite[vecIdx] = xgc_vec[vtx];
            \}
                    If solve on GPU
        \};
        o::parallel_for(simmesh->nverts(), set_petsc_vec, "set_petsc_vec");
        ierr \(=\) VecCUDARestoreArrayWrite(bloc, \&bwrite); CHKERRQ(ierr);
        template<class Device>
        PetscErrorCode Poisson<Device>: : copyVec_xgcm_to_petsc(o: Reals\& xgc_vec,
                        Vec petsc_vec) \{
    
## 2. Call PETSc to solve the linear equation <br> 2029 ierr $=\operatorname{KSPSolve}(k s p$, b, u); CHKERRQ(ierr); <br> 2030 <br> ierr $=$ KSPGetSolution(ksp, \&u) ; CHKERRQ(ierr);

## 3. After solve, copy solution vector to XGCm

2036 // scatter solution vector u to xgc field

2037 ierr = copyVec_petsc_to_xgcm(u, pot); CHKERRQ(ierr);

```
    #else
    0::HostRead<0::L0> p2lv(partVtx_to_locVec);
    0::HostRead<o::Real> xgc_vec_host(xgc_vec);
    ierr = VecGetArrayWrite(bloc, &bwrite); CHKERRQ(ierr);
    for (int vtx = 0; vtx < xgc_vec.size(); vtx++) {
        const o::LO vecIdx = p2lv[vtx];
            if (vecIdx >= 0) {
            bwrite[vecIdx] = xgc_vec_host[vtx]; If SO|VE On CPU
        }
        }
            ierr = VecRestoreArrayWrite(bloc, &bwrite); CHKERRQ(ierr);
#endif
```

ierr = DMLocalToGlobal(dm, bloc, INSERT_VALUES, petsc_vec); CHKERRQ(ierr); ierr = DMRestoreLocalVector(dm, \&bloc); CHKERRQ(ierr);
If Solve on GPU, specify PETSc matrix and vector as
> -dm_vec_type cuda
> -dm_mat_type aijcusparse

PetscFunctionReturn(0); \}

## Solving electrostatic gyrokinetic Poisson equation using PETSc

## Solver partition

$>$ Currently used the same partition as the poloidal partition;
> Easiest;
$>$ More importantly, big time cost to support a different solver partition.
KSPSolve time comparison (in unit of seconds)

| \# of mesh partitions | \# of mesh triangles per rank <br> (in thousands) | Solve on GPU | Solve on CPU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2400 | 8.181 | 247.93 |
| 6 | 400 | 10.187 | 51.552 |
| 12 | 200 | 9.6197 | 22.622 |
| 24 | 100 | 10.839 |  |
| 48 | 50 | 14.418 |  |
| 96 | 25 | 11.876 |  |

```
> -ksp_type cg
    > -mg_levels_ksp_type chebyshev
> -pc_type gamg
> -mg_levels_pc_type jacobi
```


## Code validation: cyclone base case with circular geometry



## Simulation setup

> Mesh size: 590,143 mesh triangles, 296,046 mesh vertices;
> 8 poloidal planes/partitions, or 8 GPUs;
> 20 million particles per GPU;
$>$ An initially perturbed density field is used, corresponding to a single toroidal mode number $n=24$, with Gaussian shape in both the radial and poloidal directions;


Background density and temperature profile, $\psi$ is normalized poloidal magnetic flux as in the left figure ${ }^{[1]}$.

Coarse mesh is shown here for visualization

[1] G. Merlo, J. Dominski, A. Bhattacharjee, C. S. Chang, F. Jenko, S. Ku, E. Lanti, and S. Parker, Cross-verification of the global gyrokinetic codes GENE and XGC, Physics of Plasmas 25, 062308 (2018)

## Code validation: cyclone base case with circular geometry



Growth rate, $\gamma$, of the turbulent electrostatic potential over time. Here, $\gamma=\frac{\operatorname{dlog}(\varphi(t))}{d t}$, with $\varphi(t)$ is the square-averaged turbulent electrostatic potential at time $t, \log ()$ is the logarithm function, and $\frac{d}{d t}$ is the time derivative.


Contour plot of turbulent electrostatic potential on one poloidal plane at time step 200.

## Ion Temperature Gradient (ITG) with DIII-D geometry

## Adiabatic electron;

> DIII-D equilibrium 096333;



Initial background density and temperature profile, $\psi$ is normalized poloidal magnetic flux

## Simulation details

> 16 poloidal planes; each with 20 million particles;
> Each poloidal plane has 400,276 triangle elements;

- Simulations were run for 1000 ion time steps;
$>d t=3.13 \mathrm{e}-7$ second


Resulting turbulent electrostatic potential on one poloidal plane over time; the result show here is the mean-squareaveraged potential over all mesh vertices.

## ITG with DIII-D geometry: turbulent electrostatic potential



Contour plot of turbulent electrostatic potential on one poloidal plane at different time steps

## XGCm performance: weak scaling on OLCF Summit

Summit is hosted at Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility (OLCF). Currently the 5th fastest computer in the world;
> Weak scaling: each GPU does same amount of work, evaluate performance as number of GPUs increase (increased problem size);
$>$ Used 256 to 4,608 Summit computing nodes (1,536 to 27,648 GPUs);
> Up to full Summit's computing power;
$>$ Straight line means perfect scaling.


Total simulation time cost, and time cost of major components of the code. Problem size scales with the computing nodes used ${ }^{[1,2]}$.
[1] C. Zhang, G. Diamond, C. W. Smith, M. S. Shephard, in review, Computer Physics Communications, 2023.
[2] C. Zhang, G. Diamond, C. W. Smith, M. S. Shephard, 64th Annual Meeting of the APS Division of Plasma Physics , October 17-21, 2022, Spokane, WA.

## XGCm performance: particle operations GPU kernels time cost

Cyclone base case with circular geometry
> Same case as previous weak scaling study;
> 8 poloidal planes, or 8 GPUs used.

590,143 mesh elements, 8 poloidal planes, 8 GPUs


Number of particles per GPU (million)

Most of these GPU kernels are memory bound


Need 20-30 million particles/GPU to be efficient on Summit (Nvidia V100) ;
$\square$ 50-80 million particles/GPU on Perlmutter (Nvidia A100).

## XGCm performance: simple Nvidia Nsight Compute analysis


$\square$ Charge scatter kernel is heavily memory bound; $\square$ lon push kernel is less memory bound.


## XGCm performance: simple Nvidia Nsight Compute analysis

## Gyroaveraged electric field calculation

> Mesh field operation, from field A to field B;
$>$ Operating on each mesh vertex of field $A$;
$>$ Calculating field $B$ defined on each mesh vertex;
$>$ Field $A$ and $B$ have different components per mesh vertex.


Simple test using Cabana's array-of-structs-of-arrays data structure, AoSoA;
$\square$ Roughly a 36\% reduction in kernel time.

```
using DataTypes = Cabana::MemberTypes<double[NRP1*NCOMPS],double[NRP1*NCOMPS]>;
const int VectorLength = 32;
using MemorySpace = Kokkos::CudaSpace;
using ExecutionSpace = Kokkos::Cuda;
using DeviceType = Kokkos::Device<ExecutionSpace, MemorySpace>;
Cabana::AoSoA<DataTypes, DeviceType, VectorLength> aosoa("my_aosoa", mesh->nverts());
auto eff_major_slice = Cabana::slice<0>(aosoa);
auto eff_minor_slice = Cabana::slice<1>(aosoa);
```


## Future work

$\square$ Mesh operations
> Explore different unstructured mesh field storage on GPU;
> meshFields library being developed at RPI: https://github.com/SCOREC/meshFields.

- Use the Cabana AoSoA data structure;
- Better data locality and performance.
$\square$ Particle operations
$>$ Particle push: ion and electron have dramatically different mass and hence speed;
$>$ Explore the performance of different particle data structures on different species.
- Sell-C-sigma, Cabana AoSoA, DPS.
$\square$ Better use of PETSc
> Integrate latest PETSc release with XGCm (currently using v3.16.6);
> Currently only used Cuda, explore using Kokkos, HIP, and SYCL with different hardwares;
> Best practice using PETSc on different GPUs vs on CPU.
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